

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,302	10/15/2003	David H. Masury	0194-2001US02	7115
7590 11/15/2005		EX	EXAM	INER
Kevin M. Farrell			NGUYEN, VI X	
Pierce Atwood				
Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One New Hampshire Avenue			3731	
Portsmouth, NI			B. (B) (1.4.4.000)	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•#	16					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/686,302	MASURY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor X. Nguyen	3731			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHI0 - External control contro	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDON to the second ABAN	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 O	ctober 2003.				
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-38</u> is/are rejected.					
-						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The dath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kanililer. Note the attached Offic	Le Action of form F10-132.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau		9			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.			
Attachme	• •					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date 02/2004.	_ 🗖	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-21,24-26,30-31 and 35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2,5-6 and 9-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,216. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they recite the same subject matters as following: a surgical scalpel comprising: a housing has a window, a blade carrier includes a single use indicator, where the single use indicator is a flexible convex element that is irreversibly collapsed when the

Application/Control Number: 10/686,302 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

blade carrier is moved to the extended position. As to claims 24-26 and 35 are not patentably distinct from each other because they are essentially the same as those in the cited patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-23,27-28,30-33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohn et al. (6,053,929).

Cohn et al disclose in figures. 25,28-29, a surgical scalpel having the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: a housing (412) has an internal channel and a viewing window (436), a blade carrier (420) slidably disposes in the channel, where the blade carrier includes a single use indicator (432 is considered as a single use indicator) that is visible through the viewing window when the blade carrier is in the retracted position and is capable of altering when the blade carrier is moved to the extended position. Note that the procedure in figure 30 discloses the single use indicator can be a flexible convex element when the blade carrier is in the extended position, where the portion of the blade carrier is tapered to form a shimming plane at best seen in fig. 29, and where the device further has one or more tapered ribs (along the side of element 450), and where the device further has a palm support removably (430) which attached to the housing (fig. 27).

Claims 20-22,24-26,29-30,34-35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gringer (6,058,607).

Gringer discloses in figures 4, 7-8, a device having the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: a housing (2) has an internal channel and a viewing window (14), a blade carrier (22) slidably disposes in the channel, where the blade carrier includes a single use indicator (10 is considered as a single use indicator) that is visible through the viewing window when the blade carrier is in the retracted position and is capable of altering when the blade carrier is moved to the extended position. Note that the procedure in figure 37 discloses the single use indicator can be a flexible convex element when the blade carrier is in the extended position, where the portion of the blade carrier is tapered to form a shimming plane at best seen in fig. 8, where the housing includes a slot (8), where the blade carrier includes an actuator/locking (36) that extends through the slot, where the slot includes a ramp (20) formed therein for engaging the actuator/locking when the blade carrier is in the extended position, and where the blade carrier includes a leaf spring (60) that extends outwardly thereform, where the housing is a molded plastic housing (see col. 8, lines 60-66).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,752,968 to Jolly

U.S. Pat. No. 4,491,132 to Aikins

U.S. Pat. No. 6,626,925 to Newman

Application/Control Number: 10/686,302

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn VN 11/9/2005 Julian W-Woo

JULIAN W. WOO DRIMARY EXAMINER